United States of America

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

)

Eastern District of North Carolina

	v. )	ase No. 5:10-CR-106-1F	
	TYRONE ROSWELL DAVIS )	ase No. 6.16 GR 166 H	
	Defendant )		
	DETENTION ORDER PENI	DING TRIAL	
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform A that the defendant be detained pending trial.	Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts	
	Part I—Findings of	Fact	
□ (1) T	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S	C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted	
C	of $\Box$ a federal offense $\Box$ a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal		
	jurisdiction had existed - that is		
	☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)( for which the prison term is 10 years or more.	4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)	
	☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is death of	or life imprisonment.	
	☐ an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten ye	ars or more is prescribed in	
		.*	
	a felony committed after the defendant had been convidescribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or compared	•	
	☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves	:	
	☐ a minor victim		
	☐ the possession or use of a firearm or destructive de	evice or any other dangerous weapon	
	□ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250		
□ (2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state release or local offense.		
□ (3)	A period of less than five years has elapsed since the	date of conviction	
	from prison for the offense described in finding (1).		
□ (4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumpt of another person or the community. I further find that the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Alternative Findings	(A)	
□ (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has o	ommitted an offense	
	☐ for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more	e is prescribed in	
	□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	<del></del>	

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

□ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the safety of the community.		
	Alt	ernative Findings (B)	
□ (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.		
□ (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendan	will endanger the safety of another person or the community.	
]		nent of the Reasons for Detention bmitted at the detention hearing establishes by  clear and	
<b>▶</b> Ba		evidence that a detention hearing, there is no condition or combination of conditions, that ca effection and/or the safety of another person or the community.	
1 1	r the reasons indicated below their is no conditions to the defedant's appearance and/or safety of the nature of the charges  The apparent strength of the government's condition of substance abuse  The defendant's criminal history  Other:	The lack of stable employment	
	Part III—D	rections Regarding Detention	
in a corr pending order of	ections facility separate, to the extent pracappeal. The defendant must be afforded a	of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement icable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On mey for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shal for a court appearance.	
Date:	08/12/2010	James Jen	
	<del>-</del>	Judge's Signature	
	DAVID W. DANIEL, U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
	_	Name and Title	

\*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 95 et seq.); (c) Section 106 Act of Sept. 15 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 95 to 59 16/10 Page 2 of 2

Reset

Page 2 of 2